

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,129	04/27/2001	Gordon W. Duff	MSA-010.03	1917
25181 75	590 10/21/2002			
FOLEY HOAG LLP PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD			EXAMINER	
			CHAKRABARTI, ARUN K	
BOSTON, MA	BOSTON, MA 02110-2600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	1.
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2002	Ų

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Examiner

Applicant(s)

09/845,129

r Art Unit Arun Chakrabarti 16 Duff

nit 1634

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	et with	the correspondence address			
Period for Reply		_				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the lf NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	and will expire SIX (6) . he application to becon	MONTHS fr ne ABANDO	om the meiling date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 7, 20	002		·			
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) □ This act	tion is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-6, 8-20, and 29-40</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)						
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-6, 8-20, and 29-40</u>			is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆 Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) 🗆 accepte	d or b)	\square objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) \square All b) \square Some $*$ c) \square None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been receive	d.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	eau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).				
	-					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic						
Attachment(s)	s priority andor	00 0.0.	0. 33 .20 a.i.g.c2.i.			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PT)	0-413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Inf	ormal Paten	nt Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/845,129 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Great Britain on May 29, 1997.

Specification

2. Claims 7, 21-28, and 41-57 have been canceled without prejudice towards further prosecution. Claims 1, 8, and 29 have been amended.

Double Patenting

- 3. Claims 1-6, 8-20, and 29-40 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 and 8-57 of U.S. Patent No. 6,268,142. (July 31, 2001). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the species of alleles of IL-1 inflammatory haplotype of U.S. Patent No. 6,268,142 (claims 1, 8, 21, 29, 41, and 48) are the same inflammatory haplotypes 44112332 and 33441461 (claims 1, 8, and 29 as amended) of the instant application.
- 4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/845,129

Art Unit: 1634

Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CAR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CAR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CAR 3.73(b).

Response to Amendment

5. In response to amendment, the statutory type double patenting rejection, 112 (first paragraph) rejection and 103(a) rejection have been withdrawn. However, obviousness type double patenting rejection has been maintained.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on October 7, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant is hereby informed that the inflammatory haplotypes 44112332 and 33441461 (claims 1, 8, and 29 as amended) of the instant application are exactly same as IL-1

Application/Control Number: 09/845,129 Page 4

Art Unit: 1634

inflammatory haplotype of U.S. Patent No. 6,268,142 (claims 1, 8, 21, 29, 41, and 48) as described in the specification (Page 18, line 6 to page 19, line 14). Therefore, the obviousness type double patenting rejection has been maintained properly.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CAR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CAR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun Chakrabarti, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 306-5818. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152. Any inquiry of a general nature or

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/845,129

Art Unit: 1634

relating to the status of this application should be directed tithe Group analyst Chantae Dessau whose telephone number is (703) 605-1237. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission via the P.T.O. Fax Center located In Crystal Mall 1. The CM1 Fax Center numbers for Technology Center 1600 are either (703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242. Please note that the faxing of such papers must conform with the Notice to Comply published In the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989).

Arun Chakrabarti

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1634

October 16, 2002

W. Gary Jones

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600